	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/517,465	· MAURER, MAX M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dwin M Craig	. 2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	pears on the cover sheet was (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the commodified of the commodition is application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>10-29-2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-16</u> .		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on $\underline{6/18/2002}$ are accepted by the Exa	miner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority delinternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	ve been received. ve been received in Application	on No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit NFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which girls.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep	rson's Patent Drawing Revie r's Amendment / Comment o 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 Cl osit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN	FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	OLOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) 6. ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	Paper No. /08), 7. 🔲 Examiner's	/Mail Dates Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance
		Canten,

Application/Control Number: 09/517,465

Art Unit: 2123

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

And

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. Claims 1-16 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- improvements over the prior art. "a simulator for inserting simulated network frames onto a physical medium... generating a least one simulated network frame from each of multiple virtual clients... a bridge device including first and second interfaces." After a careful review of the prior art applied and Applicant's arguments submitted in the Appeal Brief dated, 10/29/2004 the Examiner asserts that the combination of limitations is neither anticipated not made obvious by the prior art. As argued in the Applicant's appeal brief, Specifically on page 8, "Furthermore, note that Applicant's claim 1 sets forth a "bridge device," e.g., a physical device, with interfaces for communicating with other real entities, such as a frame generator, a network and a system under test. In contrast, as mentioned, Brockel is only concerned with a simulated network with simulated components, and not with a real component such as a bridge device as claimed. This is an important distinction over the cited references since Applicant's invention provides the ability to test a real system under test such as a server, by providing realistic client/server network traffic and load (specification, page 13, lines 5-8)." The Examiner notes that the prior art does

not disclosed or make obvious, an actual "bridge device" that transfers simulated network frames, representing a plurality of virtual clients, onto a physical medium.

Further, the Applicant argued on page 11 of the Applicant's Appeal brief, "Furthermore, note that Applicant's claim 1 sets forth a "bridge device", e.g. a physical device, with interfaces for communicating with other real entities, such as a frame generator, a network and a system under test. This is an important distinction over the cited references since Applicant's invention provides the ability to test a real system under test, such as a server, by providing realistic client/server network traffic and load (specification, page 13, lines 5-8)." The Examiner has found these arguments in combination with Applicant's instant amendments to the claim language to over come the prior art.

An updated search has revealed the following prior art references that are related to the Applicant's claimed invention.

U.S. Patent 6,295,557 Foss et al. discloses a frame generator for simulating network traffic from a plurality of virtual clients (Figure 1), however, the Foss et al. reference does not disclose or make obvious, the use of a bridge device and it also disclosed the simulation occurring at the TCP/IP protocol layer (Figure 4 Item 408) and not the Data Link layer, as claimed by Applicant's instant amendment to claim 1.

U.S. Patent 6,041,063 Povisen et al. discloses a bridge device that simulates layer 2 MAC addresses for the purpose of providing access by a computer work station to an ATM network (Figure 4), however, nowhere in this reference is disclosed or suggested the limitation of inserting network frames representing a plurality of virtual clients or that the simulation is occurring at the Data Link Layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,465

Art Unit: 2123

U.S. Patent 6,631,137 Lorrain et al. discloses a bridge device for generating

Page 4

standard 802.3 10 Base T CSMA/CD Ethernet network by generating hybrid network frames

simulated MAC packets for the purpose of allowing a Token ring network to interface with a

with MAC bridge information as well as Layer 3 data (Figures 2, 3 & 4), however the

simulation of multiple virtual clients is neither disclosed nor made obvious by this reference.

2.2 Dependent Claims 2, 3, 6, 9 and 10-16 are allowed as they depend upon allowed

base claims.

2.3 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than

the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the

issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-3710.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 - 6:00 M-F.

The Examiner's E-Mail address is: craig.dwin@uspto.gov

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on (571)272-3716.

Art Unit: 2123

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC